

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,306	03/01/2004	In-Hyung Cho	04010-P0010A	9314
24126	7590 02/17/2005		EXAMINER	
ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC 986 BEDFORD STREET STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619			FERNSTROM, KURT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	•		3714	
			DATE MAILED: 02/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/790,306	CHO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kurt Fernstrom	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	ety filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau	-	u III tilis National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d.				
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/16/04</u> .	6) Other:	,				

Art Unit: 3714

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims contain numerous grammatical and idiomatic errors, which render the scope of the claims unclear. Examples of indefinite language in the claims include "revolving relative to the two confronting points with coupled with the revolution axis" (claims 1, 8, 9 and 10), "an orbit of the Earth revolving with gear-coupled with the revolution axis" (claim 2), and "is figured that" (claim 11). Also, the language "the power line and the control line" in line 4 of claim 7 lacks antecedent basis, as a power line and a control line were never explicitly recited as part of the claimed invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,306

Art Unit: 3714

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scott in view of Miltenberger. Scott discloses in Figures 1 and 3 and in the specification a globe apparatus comprising a base 16 to which support arms 58 and 60 are fixed, and to which a revolution axis 36 is rotatably fixed, whereby an Earth globe 12 is rotatably coupled with the support arms. Scott fails to disclose a revolution unit placed inside the Earth globe to show day and night as claimed. Miltenberger discloses in the Figure and in the specification a globe apparatus comprising a revolution unit 25, which revolves relative to the two confronting points and which shows day and night according to the rotation of the globe. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art to modify the device of Scott by providing a revolution unit for the purpose of demonstrating day and night to a user. With respect to claim 2, Scott discloses in column 4, lines 30-44 that the globe is driven by a motor. Also, Official Notice is taken that gears are a well known means of rotating objects with respect to each other, and that the gear coupling of claim 2 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-12 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to disclose or suggest a device having all of the limitations of the claims. In particular, there is no suggestion of an inferior planet revolution orbit unit Application/Control Number: 10/790,306 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

as recited in claims 3, 8 and 10, a display installed on the base as recited in claim 5, or the specific features of the revolution unit as recited in claim 6. With respect to claim 7, there is no suggestion of a support arm (rather than a base) having an inner space for a power line, or a location indicating lamp as recited. With respect to claim 9, there is no suggestion of a driving unit which is coupled with the north pole only of the Earth globe.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sprott, Suzuki, Tsuzuki, Chiu, Concordet, Denoyer, Glynn, Amano, Yasufuku, Holtvoigt and Wilson disclose various globe devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Fernstrom whose telephone number is (571) 272-4422. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jessica Harrison can be reached on (571) 272-4449. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,306

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KF

February 14, 2005

KURT FERNSTROM PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5